UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|--------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/530,997 | 02/24/2006 | Timo Malz | 71027-020 | · 9117 |
| 59582 | 7590 07/11/2007 WRIGHT PLLC | | EXAM | IINER |
| 38525 WOODWARD AVENUE SUITE 2000 BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48304-2970 | | | PATEL, VISHAL A | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| BEOOMITIEED II | 111LLS, WII 70304-2970 | · | 3673 | |
| | • | • | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 07/11/2007 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/530,997 | MALZ ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Vishal Patel | 3673 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the o | correspondence address | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period value of the reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | · | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | | | | | |
| , <u> </u> | | | | | | |
| , | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | |
| , ——— | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4-9</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4-9</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | r election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine | r. | | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc | epted or b)☐ objected to by the | Examiner. | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| " See the attached detailed Office action for a list | of the certified copies not receive | eu. | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) | | | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application | | | | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/18/05</u> . 6) Other: | | | | | | |
| | | ~ | | | | |

Art Unit: 3673

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 4-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Scott (US. 5,669,412).

Scott discloses a ring seal assembly having a rubber ring seal (e.g. 212) having a periphery (e.g. periphery of 212), a carrying frame (e.g. 214) extending about the periphery of the ring seal and including a plurality of mounting lugs (e.g. lugs shown in cross-section figure 8) extending from the carrying frame into the ring seal and being separable (the ring seal and the carrying frame is separable) from the carrying frame so that the carrying frame can be selectively detachable from the ring seal (the carrying frame is capable of selectively being detached). The carrying frame is made of re-usable plastics or metal material (e.g. the ring seal is made of rubber and the carrying frame is made of plastic or metal material, column 2, lines 30-32). The carrying frame is disposable (all members are capable of being disposed). The carrying frame is fabricated of a material selected from the group consisting of: wire, plastic or cardboard. The ring seal is molded (method limitation given little patentable weight in an apparatus claim) about the mounting lugs. The carrying frame is fabricated from a material different than that used to make the ring seal.

Application/Control Number: 10/530,997

Art Unit: 3673

Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Smith, Jelinek and Boardman.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vishal Patel whose telephone number is 571-272-7060. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30am to 8:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patricia L. Engle can be reached on 571-272-6660. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

VP July 2, 2007

> Vishal Patel Patent Examiner Tech. Center 3600

In Fall